

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION**

NY Daily Newswire, LLC,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No:
Tegna Inc.,)
Defendant.)
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff NY Daily Newswire, LLC (“*Plaintiff*”), by and through its undersigned counsel, for its Complaint against defendant Tegna Inc. (“*Defendant*”) states and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover damages for copyright infringement under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C §101 *et seq.*
2. Steve Sands (“*Sands*”) created a photograph of American actor Jon Bernthal on a movie set (the “*Photograph*”) in which Plaintiff owns the rights and licenses for various uses including online and print publications.
3. Defendant owns and operates a website at www.ksdk.com (the “*Website*”).
4. KSDK News Channel 5 maintains its principal place of business at 1000 Market St., Saint Louis MO 63101.
5. Per the Website Terms of Service, Defendant’s designated copyright agent and its Law Department are also located at 1000 Market St., Saint Louis, MO 63101.
6. Defendant, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, actively copied and displayed the Photograph on the Website and engaged in this misconduct knowingly and in violation of the United States copyright laws.

PARTIES

7. NY Daily Newswire, LLC is a New York limited liability company and maintains its principal place of business in New York County, New York.

8. Upon information and belief, defendant Tegna Inc., is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Tysons, Virginia.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal copyright infringement claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.

10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it maintains its principal place of business in Missouri.

11. This Court further has personal jurisdiction over TEGNA Inc. under the applicable long-arm jurisdictional statute because while TEGNA Inc. is headquartered in Virginia, it maintains an office in, and purposely directs substantial activities at the residents of Missouri by means of the Website.

12. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) because Defendant does business in this Judicial District and/or because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

A. Plaintiff's Copyright Ownership

13. Plaintiff is the legal and rightful owner of certain photographs which Plaintiff commercially licenses.

14. Plaintiff has invested significant time and money in building Plaintiff's photograph portfolio.

15. Plaintiff has obtained active and valid copyright registrations from the United States Copyright Office (the "USCO") which cover many of Plaintiff's photographs while many others are the subject of pending copyright applications.

16. Plaintiff's photographs are original, creative works in which Plaintiff owns

protectable copyright interests.

17. On May 18, 2018, Sands first published the Photograph. A copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

18. In creating the Photograph, Sands personally selected the subject matter, timing, lighting, angle, perspective, depth, lens, and camera equipment used to capture the image and made each and every artistic determination necessary for the creation of the work.

19. On July 5, 2018, the Photograph was registered by the USCO under Registration No. VA 2-111-210.

20. Sands created the Photograph with the intention of it being used commercially and for the purpose of display and/or public distribution.

21. Plaintiff acquired the rights in and to the Photograph by way of written assignment.

B. Defendant's Infringing Activity

22. Defendant is the registered owner of the Website and is responsible for its content.

23. Defendant is the operator of the Website and is responsible for its content.

24. The Website is a key component of Defendant's popular and lucrative commercial enterprise.

25. The Website is monetized in that it contains paid advertisements and, on information and belief, Defendant profits from these activities.

26. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a sophisticated media company which owns a comprehensive portfolio of digital marketing assets and has advanced operational and strategic expertise in an industry where copyright is prevalent.

27. Upon information and belief, Defendant's staff have significant experience in copyright matters and are familiar with specific journalistic practices including the need to ensure that copyrighted material used in their on-line articles have been properly licensed.

28. Upon information and belief, Defendant has not implemented adequate internal policies to verify copyright ownership before content use, indicating a gross negligence in legal compliance, which is essential for a company with Defendant's reach, capabilities, and level of

sophistication.

29. Upon information and belief, Defendant's internal policies, if any, are either not designed to verify copyright ownership before content use or are systematically ignored, indicating a willful, recurring disregard for copyright compliance.

30. Defendant's failure to adopt or effectively enforce internal copyright policies, if any, indicates *de facto* willful infringement.

31. On or about February 28, 2020, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, Defendant volitionally copied and displayed the Photograph on the Website as part of an on-line article at URL: <https://www.ksdk.com/article/news/entertainment-news/the-punisher-adam-g-simon/63-e6d359e3-6581-49d2-9039-15a25e604c3e> (the "*Infringement*"). A copy of a screengrab depicting the Infringement is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

32. The Photograph was copied and stored locally on Defendant's server at URL: https://media.ksdk.com/assets/KSDK/images/d68ec442-d784-4d76-9133-707f83697f72/d68ec442-d784-4d76-9133-707f83697f72_1920x1080.jpg.

33. The Infringement is an exact copy of a substantial portion of Plaintiff's original image that was directly copied and displayed on the Website by Defendant.

34. Plaintiff first observed the Infringement on April 7, 2022.

35. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was copied and displayed by Defendant without license or permission, thereby infringing on Plaintiff's copyrights in and to the Photograph.

36. The Infringement includes a URL ("Uniform Resource Locator") for a fixed tangible medium of expression that was sufficiently permanent or stable to permit it to be communicated for a period of more than a transitory duration and therefore constitutes a specific infringement.

37. Upon information and belief, Defendant takes an active and pervasive role in the content posted on its Website, including, but not limited to copying, posting, selecting, commenting on, and displaying images including but not limited to Plaintiff's Photograph.

38. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was willfully and volitionally posted to the Website by Defendant.

39. Upon information and belief, Defendant was aware of facts or circumstances from which the determination regarding the Infringement was apparent. Defendant cannot claim that it was not aware of the infringing activities, including the specific Infringement which forms the basis of this complaint, since such a claim would amount to only willful blindness to the Infringement on the part of Defendant.

40. Upon information and belief, Defendant engaged in the Infringement knowingly and in violation of applicable United States copyright laws.

41. Upon information and belief, Defendant had complete control over and actively reviewed and monitored the content posted on the Website.

42. Upon information and belief, Defendant has the legal right and ability to control and limit the infringing activities on its Website and exercised and/or had the right and ability to exercise such right.

43. Upon information and belief, Defendant has received a financial benefit directly attributable to the Infringement.

44. Upon information and belief, the Infringement increased traffic to the Website and, in turn, caused Defendant to realize an increase in its advertising and/or business revenues.

45. Upon information and belief, a large number of people have viewed the unlawful copy of the Photograph on the Website.

46. Upon information and belief, Defendant at all times had the ability to stop the reproduction and display of Plaintiff's copyrighted material.

47. Defendant's use of the Photograph harmed the actual market for the Photograph.

48. Defendant's use of the Photograph, if widespread, would harm Plaintiff's potential market for the Photograph.

49. As a result of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff has been substantially harmed.

FIRST COUNT

(Direct Copyright Infringement, 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq.)

50. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth in full herein.

51. The Photograph is an original, creative work in which Plaintiff owns a valid copyright.

52. The Photograph is properly registered with the USCO and Plaintiff has complied with all statutory formalities under the Copyright Act and under regulations published by the USCO.

53. Plaintiff has not granted Defendant a license or the right to use the Photograph in any manner, nor has Plaintiff assigned any of its exclusive rights in the copyright to Defendant.

54. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff and in willful violation of Plaintiff's rights under 17 U.S.C. §106, Defendant improperly and illegally copied, reproduced, distributed, adapted, and/or publicly displayed works copyrighted by Plaintiff thereby violating Plaintiff's exclusive rights in its copyrights.

55. Defendant's reproduction of the Photograph and display of the Photograph constitutes willful copyright infringement.

56. Upon information and belief, Defendant willfully infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrighted Photograph in violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, in that Defendant used, published, communicated, posted, publicized, and otherwise held out to the public for commercial benefit, Plaintiff's original and unique Photograph without Plaintiff's consent or authority.

57. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringements as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

58. As a result of the Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, the court in its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs

pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 from Defendant.

59. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief to prevent or restrain infringement of Plaintiff's copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502.

JURY DEMAND

60. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enters a judgment finding that Defendant has infringed on Plaintiff's rights to the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C. §501 *et seq.* and therefore award damages and monetary relief as follows:

- a. finding that Defendant infringed Plaintiff's copyright interest in and to the Photograph by copying and displaying it without a license or consent;
- b. for an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringements as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), whichever is larger;
- c. for an order pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a) enjoining Defendant from any infringing use of any of Plaintiff's works;
- d. for costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees against Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
- e. for pre-judgment interest as permitted by law; and
- f. for any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: April 4, 2025

SANDERS LAW GROUP

By: /s/ Craig Sanders

Craig Sanders, Esq.

333 Earle Ovington Blvd, Suite 402

Uniondale, NY 11553

Tel: (516) 203-7600

Email: csanders@sanderslaw.group

File No.: 130269

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SANDERS
LAW GROUP.
